

BOND REIMBURSEMENT & GRANT REVIEW COMMITTEE

Subject Work Session/Meeting

Friday, October 25, 2024, 1:00 to 3:00 PM

Virtual Meeting on Teams

Committee Members Present

Dale Smythe
Larry Morris
Kevin Lyons
Branzon Anania
Randy Williams

Staff

Alex Bearden
Alex Watts
Sharol Roys
Heather Heineken

Additional Participants

David Landis
Rachel Molina Lodoen
Kim Sweet

CALL TO ORDER and ROLL CALL

Doug Hayman and legislative staff not present.

CHAIR'S OPENING REMARKS

Welcome

REVIEW PREVIOUS MEETINGS MINUTES

Postponed reviewing past meeting minutes until next meeting.

SECTION 3 REVIEW

3a:

- No changes.

3b:

- Kevin- When a facility was last remodeled should be considered.
- Larry- Thinks maybe we should get rid of the section. Believes section 4 handles it better.
- Alex B.- It may be good if we know when a facility was last renovated. Believes it would be good information to have.
- Branzon- Agrees with Alex B.
- Larry- The 30 points is based on age, not when it was last renovated. Suggests removing 3b. Section 4 better identifies component age, because renovations may not tell the full picture of what is affected. Presents three options: Eliminate the section, reduce to 15 points total, or postpone until next year.
- Kevin- The intent was to give older facilities an advantage.
- Branzon- It would be a good place to give the DEED more information about the facilities.
- Larry- We are trying to remove subjectivity.
- Alex B- We could make the rating tiered and reduce the overall total points.
- Larry- We could make it 15 points and cut the point increments in half.

- Kevin- Motion to reduce the total points to 15 in section 3b.
- Branzon seconds.
- Williams- supports the reduction to 15.
- Dale- My only concern is that we would take away the ability to have older buildings get the points they need. Agrees with having renovation years listed for informational purposes.
- Sharol- the renovation data would be available in an R&R, but that is only as good as it is maintained.

Vote on motion.

All present vote for the reduction of points to 15 total.

This will be brought up and officially voted on in a later meeting.

- Larry- Should we have this section identify when renovations occurred?
- Branzon- Yes.
- Larry- We will need DEED to look into how this needs to be rated.

3c:

No changes.

3d:

- Alex B.- We should split this section into separate sections.
- Larry- Have the department makes those changes for discussion in April.

3e:

No changes.

3f:

- Larry- the wording should change to “Pre-CIP #” instead of “recovery of funds project number.”

3g:

No changes.

3h:

No changes.

GMS Update

- Larry- Do we have an update on GMS?
- Heather- We are looking into options and have identified the potential vendor and expected cost and are working to secure the funding. Before we make significant changes to the application, we should get it online.

- Sharol- We should note on the application where uploading supporting documents are needed, specific for each question, rather than have hundreds of pages to sift through.
- Heather- Agrees
- Larry- There are many looking forward to this.

Plan for next meeting.

Next meeting December 3rd, online meeting.

- Larry- Would like to see if it is possible to have the BRGR meeting in person on the day before A4LE in future years. It allowed BRGR to have more interaction with the districts.

Comments

Randy- May not be able to attend December 3rd meeting.

Heather gives introduction.

Adjourned @ 1:47PM